


# ABUSE REPORT

activity against [www.asp-waf.com](http://www.asp-waf.com) by

## Hurricane Electric LLC

reported from Sun 01 Aug 21 till Tue 31 Aug 21





# ABUSE REPORT

## ISP Range HURRICANE-4

*Incidents recorded between 01/08/2021 17:24:29 and 31/08/2021 06:23:18 UTC*

**To:**  
760 Mission Court  
Fremont  
CA  
94539  
United States  
Email abuse@he.net

**From:**  
VESNX SA  
29 Boulevard Grande Duchesse  
Charlotte, 1331 Luxembourg,  
Luxembourg  
support@asp-waf.com  
Domain : www.asp-waf.com

**Date** : Wed 22 September 2021  
**Reference** : HURRICANE-4-2021.213-2021.243 - 26  
**Regarding** : Malicious activity detected against www.asp-waf.com dating 01/08/2021 17:24:29UTC - 31/08/2021 06:23:18UTC

Dear Sir/ Madam

This report is addressed to you based on activity that has been triggered by 7 IP addresses that are maintained by you.

We refer to the malicious activity that has been reported. In case you only host the hardware and not the hereafter mentioned IP addresses, we ask you kindly to contact us at support@asp-waf.com within 30 days.

In order to avoid further abuse through your hosted IP address(es) please address the issue internally within 5 working days.

To safeguard the domain www.asp-waf.com we will activate protective measures.

We expect an update regarding this matter and hereby inform you that we reserve the right to claim any damage made and communicate this report with cybercrime related law enforcement.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter

Yours sincerely

Walter Verhoeven  
R & D

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>topic</i>	<i>page</i>
Management Overview	4
Used firewall modules	6
Malicious Multi- socket activity from 64.62.197.62	8
Malicious socket and HTTP activity from 64.62.197.182	9
Malicious socket activity on port 3389 from 64.62.197.212	10
Malicious socket and HTTP activity from 64.62.197.92	10
Malicious socket and HTTP activity from 64.62.197.32	12
Malicious HTTP activity from 64.62.197.152	14
Malicious HTTP activity from 64.62.197.2	15
Glossary	17

# MANAGEMENT OVERVIEW

## Activity against www.asp-waf.com by Hurricane Electric LLC

*based on data captured from Sat 31 Jul 21 till Tue 31 Aug 21  
for IP range 64.62.128.0 - 64.62.255.255 (32'767 IP in scope)*

Every request against www.asp-waf.com is validated against the firewall's Guard-Modules and if any of the modules detects that then an incident is generated, this report contains detections with the following patterns:

- 13 attempts to exploit port 3389 - remote desktop protocol (rdp)
- 13 attempts to URL exploit

### Abuse score-card Hurricane Electric LLC

*on the 18892 days between Thu 01 Jan 1970 and Wed 22 Sep 2021*

IP addresses	: 7	IP addresses with incidents	: 7
HTTP requests served	: 29	HTTP incidents	: 68
IP address with port attacks	: 7	Last port attack	: 16/09/2021
Ports attacked	: 1	Port based Incidents	: 36
Talking IP addresses	: -	Last Talk	: -
Talking Applications	: -	Talks Detected	: -

*on the 30 days between Sat 31 Jul 2021 and Tue 31 Aug 2021*


IP Addresses	: 7	IP addresses with incidents	: 7
HTTP requests served	: 1	HTTP incidents	: 13
IP address with port attacks	: 5	Last port attack	: 31/08/2021
Ports attacked	: 5	Port based incidents	: 13

\* The first priority of the ASP-WAF firewall is to protect the application, reporting, even though important comes second. Please consult the glossary for a detailed explanation of each metric.

[view activity diagram on the next page](#)

# ACTIVITY

## Activity, response and impact visualization



REQUESTS BY ACTOR		DETECTED ATTACK VECTORS	
Requests	27	13	Exploits against Port 3389 - Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP)
Human	0	13	accessing a honey-pot trap
Bot	14	13	continued attempted to probe exploits after being warned
BlackHat	13	13	an attempt to use developer tools to gain access
Abusive Search Engine	0	13	repetitive attempts to probe for exploits
Search Engine	0	3	repetitive visits while attempting to probe for exploits
REQUEST CLASSIFICATION			
OK	1		
Suspect	0		
Redirected	0		
Blocked	13		
Socket	13		

# USED FIREWALL MODULES

The domain [www.asp-waf.com](http://www.asp-waf.com) is protected using the modules listed in the below table. This abuse report is generated by evaluating the incidents triggered by module `Walter.Web.FireWall`. The firewall is configured to automatically detect malicious activity and process the incident based on the configuration set by the hosting application.

<i>Modules</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>Version</i>
Walter.IO	Detect unauthorized file manipulation in the web application, undoing changes and or taking the site off-line if security is compromised.	<a href="#">2021.9.4.1124</a>
Walter.Net.HoneyPot	Service responsible for detecting penetration attempts against the server. The service records the penetration attempt and issues a system-wide event alarming that there is an attack in progress.	<a href="#">2021.9.4.1124</a>
Walter.Net.LookWhosTalking	Service responsible for recording communication by processes executing on the server with external endpoints.	<a href="#">2021.9.4.1124</a>
Walter.Net.Networking	Resolves WHOIS requests resolving Internet Service Providers responsible for IP addresses as well as reverse DNS queries used for detecting search engines and country level geography discovery.	<a href="#">2021.9.4.1124</a>
Walter.Web.FireWall	Web application firewall with detection service and configurable rule engine.	<a href="#">2021.9.4.1124</a>
Walter.Web.FireWall.DiskLogger	Writes block and release configuration generated by the FireWall to disk and host PowerShell scripts used to configure the external firewall as well as IIS to block or release IP addresses.	<a href="#">2021.9.4.1124</a>
Walter.Web.FireWall.EventLog	Writes incidents to the windows event log for enterprise monitoring and provides SOC-2 end ENISA compliant entries	<a href="#">2021.9.4.1124</a>
Walter.Web.FireWall.Geo.MaxMind	Geo-Location plug-in from MaxMind user for ASN, city and country-level geography discovery using free or paid data from <a href="http://www.maxmind.com">www.maxmind.com</a>	<a href="#">2021.9.4.1124</a>
Walter.Web.FireWall.SMTPLogger	Send incident detections using a mail client to configured mail addresses filtering to the delta of previously unreported activity based on membership profiles	<a href="#">2021.9.4.1124</a>

# ABUSE OVERVIEW

The malicious activity documented in the section is proof of activity that is considered outside regular activity that is expected in [www.asp-waf.com](http://www.asp-waf.com). We define something outside of regular activity if it falls outside the terms of our services.

Some activity recorded here could be considered a cyber-crime, and next to us reporting the abuse to you, we reserve the right to file a formal complaint with relative authorities. We hope that, by you reviewing this document, you will cooperate with authorities if asked to do so and will take action and prevent any further abuse.

**DO NOT CLICK ON HTTP EXPLOITS LINKS  
YOUR PDF VIEWER MAY GENERATE THESE HEADERS AS LINKS.  
CLICKING THESE LINKS WILL TRIGGER THE FIREWALL!**

## 13 attempts against 1 socket and 13 HTTP requests to abuse 1 endpoint

During the reporting period, from Sun 01 August 2021 17:24:29 till Tue 31 August 2021 06:23:18 UTC, we detected 5 unique exploits from 7 IP addresses under your management.

In 29 days we detected:

- accessing a honey-pot trap
- continued attempted to probe exploits after being warned
- an attempted access systems while not authorized
- an attempt to use developer tools to gain access
- repetitive attempts to probe for exploits

We noted that all 5 IP addresses are attacking our system using the same port. Is this a coincidence or a distributed attack? We would appreciate an update concerning this matter.

We noted that there is an overlap between the 5 IP addresses that are attacking based on the same 1 ports. Is this a coincidence or a distributed attack? We would appreciate an update concerning this matter.

We also noted that all 5 IP addresses are attacking our system using the same URL. Is this a coincidence or a distributed attack? We would appreciate an update in regards to this matter.

We also noted that there is an overlap between the 5 IP addresses that are attacking based on the same 1 ports. Is this a coincidence or a distributed attack? We would appreciate an update in regards to this matter.

The next 7 entries document the activities in greater detail.

## Malicious Multi- socket activity from 64.62.197.62

---

The user on IP address 64.62.197.62 tried to exploit web based vulnerabilities.

During the reported time range user of IP address triggered an attempt to use and abuse a single exploit one time.

01.Aug.21 ○ 4 penetration attempts period 01/08/2021 17:24:29 - 28/08/2021 14:34:39, all dates in UTC

● 17:24:29

### Port 3389 - Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP)

The firewall detected a attempt by an attacker to takes advantage of vulnerabilities in the

Remote Desktop Protocol to be able to use a graphical interface to connect to the server.

We rejected any data send by the attacker and stopped the attack before determining the exact exploit used.

Triggered : ProxyUser PenetrationAttempt

Decision :

Action : Continued recording activity

06.Aug.21 ● 08:21:20

### Port 3389 - Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP)

The firewall detected a attempt by an attacker to takes advantage of vulnerabilities in the

Remote Desktop Protocol to be able to use a graphical interface to connect to the server.

We rejected any data send by the attacker and stopped the attack before determining the exact exploit used.

Triggered : ProxyUser PenetrationAttempt

Decision :

Action : Continued recording activity

24.Aug.21 ● 10:11:41

### Port 3389 - Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP)

The firewall detected a attempt by an attacker to takes advantage of vulnerabilities in the

Remote Desktop Protocol to be able to use a graphical interface to connect to the server.

We rejected any data send by the attacker and stopped the attack before determining the exact exploit used.

Triggered : ProxyUser PenetrationAttempt

Decision :

Action : Continued recording activity

28.Aug.21 ● 14:34:39

### Port 3389 - Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP)

The firewall detected a attempt by an attacker to takes advantage of vulnerabilities in the

Remote Desktop Protocol to be able to use a graphical interface to connect to the server.

We rejected any data send by the attacker and stopped the attack before determining the exact exploit used.

Triggered : ProxyUser PenetrationAttempt

Decision :

Action : Continued recording activity

28.Aug.21 ● end or reported activity



## Malicious socket and HTTP activity from 64.62.197.182

The user on IP address 64.62.197.182 tried to exploit web based vulnerabilities. In its totality the user of IP address tried to use a HTTP request to exploits:

- accessing a honey-pot trap
- continued attempted to probe exploits after being warned
- an attempted access systems while not authorized
- an attempt to use developer tools to gain access

During the reported time range user of IP address triggered and attempted to use 4 different exploits 3 times. In total we detected 3 exploits with 6 attempts from 07 Aug 21 and 31 Aug 21. We recorded:

- 3 port-based attempt to exploit the server
- 2 repeated engagements with the site while being blocked
- 1 attempt to access a endpoint(s) while not being authorized

07.Aug.21  3 penetration attempts period 07/08/2021 14:40:39 - 31/08/2021 06:23:18, all dates in UTC

 14:40:39 **Port 3389 - Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP)**

The firewall detected a attempt by an attacker to takes advantage of vulnerabilities in the

Remote Desktop Protocol to be able to use a graphical interface to connect to the server.

We rejected any data send by the attacker and stopped the attack before determining the exact exploit used.

Triggered : ProxyUser PenetrationAttempt

Decision :

Action : Continued recording activity

17.Aug.21  01:17:06 **https://84.195.151.207/**

The firewall flagged the HttpGet request as 3 actions that are of malicious intent where detected. The firewall updated the block recommendation as previous penetration attempts were serious enough for the firewall to generate a block recommendation.

The most significant reasons to reject the request where "The user is using a port scanner", "The user has to many, and to serious incidents, that have not expired to be allowed to access the application", "The requested endpoint does not allow Blocked users or Users using developer tools to be accessed."

Triggered : HoneyPotTrap MaliciousUser

Decision : return Forbidden after 3 incidents in 495 milliseconds

Action : Block, expires on 17 Aug 21 01:22:07 UTC

31.Aug.21  06:23:18 **Port 3389 - Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP)**

The firewall detected a attempt by an attacker to takes advantage of vulnerabilities in the

Remote Desktop Protocol to be able to use a graphical interface to connect to the server.

We rejected any data send by the attacker and stopped the attack before determining the exact exploit used.

Triggered : ProxyUser PenetrationAttempt

Decision :

Action : Continued recording activity

31.Aug.21  end or reported activity

## Malicious socket activity on port 3389 from 64.62.197.212

---

The user on IP address 64.62.197.212 tried to exploit web based vulnerabilities.

During the reported time range user of IP address triggered an attempt to use and abuse a single exploit one time.

11.Aug.21 ○ 1 penetration attempt on 11/08/2021 11:38:09, all dates in UTC

● 11:38:09

### Port 3389 - Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP)

The firewall detected a attempt by an attacker to takes advantage of vulnerabilities in the

Remote Desktop Protocol to be able to use a graphical interface to connect to the server.

We rejected any data send by the attacker and stopped the attack before determining the exact exploit used.

Triggered : ProxyUser PenetrationAttempt

Decision :

Action : Continued recording activity

11.Aug.21 ● end or reported activity

## Malicious socket and HTTP activity from 64.62.197.92

---

The user on IP address 64.62.197.92 tried to exploit web based vulnerabilities. In its totality the user of IP address tried to use a HTTP request to exploits:

- accessing a honey-pot trap
- continued attempted to probe exploits after being warned
- an attempt to use developer tools to gain access
- repetitive attempts to probe for exploits

During the reported time range user of IP address triggered and attempted to use 4 different exploits 4 times. In total we detected 2 exploits with 6 attempts from 03 Aug 21 and 22 Aug 21. We recorded:

- 4 port-based attempt to exploit the server
- 2 repeated engagements with the site while being blocked

03.Aug.21 ○ 4 penetration attempts period 03/08/2021 00:55:44 - 22/08/2021 12:20:08, all dates in UTC

● 00:55:44

### https://84.195.151.207/

The firewall flagged the HttpGet request as 2 actions that are of malicious intent where detected. The firewall updated the block recommendation as previous penetration attempts were serious enough for the firewall to generate a block recommendation.

The most significant reasons to reject the request where "The user is using a port scanner", "The user has to many, and to serious incidents, that have not expired to be allowed to access the application"

Triggered : HoneyPotTrap

Decision : return Forbidden

Action : Block, expires on 03 Aug 21 01:00:45 UTC

*activity by 64.62.197.92 continues on the next page...*

00:35:34 **https://84.195.151.207/**

The firewall flagged the HttpGet request as 2 actions that are of malicious intent where detected. The firewall updated the block recommendation as previous penetration attempts were serious enough for the firewall to generate a block recommendation.

The most significant reasons to reject the request where "The user is using a port scanner", "The user has to many, and to serious incidents, that have not expired to be allowed to access the application"

Triggered : HoneyPotTrap

Decision : return Forbidden

Action : Block, expires on 08 Aug 21 00:40:34 UTC

15.Aug.21 17:23:21

**Port 3389 - Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP)**

The firewall detected a attempt by an attacker to takes advantage of vulnerabilities in the

Remote Desktop Protocol to be able to use a graphical interface to connect to the server.

We rejected any data send by the attacker and stopped the attack before determining the exact exploit used.

Triggered : ProxyUser PenetrationAttempt

Decision :

Action : Continued recording activity

22.Aug.21 12:20:08

**Port 3389 - Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP)**

The firewall detected a attempt by an attacker to takes advantage of vulnerabilities in the

Remote Desktop Protocol to be able to use a graphical interface to connect to the server.

We rejected any data send by the attacker and stopped the attack before determining the exact exploit used.

Triggered : ProxyUser PenetrationAttempt

Decision :

Action : Continued recording activity

22.Aug.21 *end or reported activity*

## Malicious socket and HTTP activity from 64.62.197.32

The user on IP address 64.62.197.32 tried to exploit web based vulnerabilities. In its totality the user of IP address tried to use a HTTP request to exploits:

- accessing a honey-pot trap
- continued attempted to probe exploits after being warned
- an attempt to use developer tools to gain access
- repetitive attempts to probe for exploits

During the reported time range user of IP address triggered and attempted to use 4 different exploits 6 times. In total we detected 2 exploits with 6 attempts from 14 Aug 21 and 27 Aug 21. We recorded:

- 4 port-based attempt to exploit the server
- 2 repeated engagements with the site while being blocked

14.Aug.21 ○ 6 penetration attempts period 14/08/2021 00:13:20 - 27/08/2021 14:41:23, all dates in UTC

● 00:13:20 **https://84.195.151.207/**

The firewall flagged the HttpGet request as 2 actions that are of malicious intent where detected. The firewall updated the block recommendation as previous penetration attempts were serious enough for the firewall to generate a block recommendation.

The most significant reasons to reject the request where "The user is using a port scanner", "The user has too many, and too serious incidents, that have not expired to be allowed to access the application"

Triggered : HoneyPotTrap

Decision : return Forbidden

Action : Block, expires on 14 Aug 21 00:18:20 UTC

16.Aug.21 ● 17:49:28 **Port 3389 - Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP)**

The firewall detected an attempt by an attacker to take advantage of vulnerabilities in the

Remote Desktop Protocol to be able to use a graphical interface to connect to the server.

We rejected any data sent by the attacker and stopped the attack before determining the exact exploit used.

Triggered : ProxyUser PenetrationAttempt

Decision :

Action : Continued recording activity

20.Aug.21 ● 06:43:25 **Port 3389 - Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP)**

The firewall detected an attempt by an attacker to take advantage of vulnerabilities in the

Remote Desktop Protocol to be able to use a graphical interface to connect to the server.

We rejected any data sent by the attacker and stopped the attack before determining the exact exploit used.

Triggered : ProxyUser PenetrationAttempt

Decision :

Action : Continued recording activity

*activity by 64.62.197.32 continues on the next page...*

09:43:11

**Port 3389 - Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP)**

The firewall detected a attempt by an attacker to takes advantage of vulnerabilities in the

Remote Desktop Protocol to be able to use a graphical interface to connect to the server.

We rejected any data send by the attacker and stopped the attack before determining the exact exploit used.

Triggered : ProxyUser PenetrationAttempt

Decision :

Action : Continued recording activity

24.Aug.21 04:24:33

**https://84.195.151.207/**

The firewall flagged the HttpGet request as 2 actions that are of malicious intent where detected. The firewall updated the block recommendation as previous penetration attempts were serious enough for the firewall to generate a block recommendation.

The most significant reasons to reject the request where "The user is using a port scanner", "The user has to many, and to serious incidents, that have not expired to be allowed to access the application"

Triggered : HoneyPotTrap

Decision : return Forbidden

Action : Block, expires on 24 Aug 21 04:34:08 UTC

27.Aug.21 14:41:23

**Port 3389 - Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP)**

The firewall detected a attempt by an attacker to takes advantage of vulnerabilities in the

Remote Desktop Protocol to be able to use a graphical interface to connect to the server.

We rejected any data send by the attacker and stopped the attack before determining the exact exploit used.

Triggered : ProxyUser PenetrationAttempt

Decision :

Action : Continued recording activity

27.Aug.21 *end or reported activity*

## Malicious HTTP activity from 64.62.197.152

The user on IP address 64.62.197.152 tried to exploit web based vulnerabilities. In its totality the user of IP address tried to use a HTTP request to exploits:

- accessing a honey-pot trap
- continued attempted to probe exploits after being warned
- an attempt to use developer tools to gain access
- repetitive attempts to probe for exploits
- an attempted access systems while not authorized

During the reported time range user of IP address triggered and attempted to use 5 different exploits 4 times. In total we detected 3 exploits with 15 attempts from 09 Aug 21 and 29 Aug 21. We recorded:

- 9 port-based attempt to exploit the server
- 5 repeated engagements with the site while being blocked
- 1 attempt to access a endpoint(s) while not being authorized

09.Aug.21  4 penetration attempts period 09/08/2021 01:42:04 - 29/08/2021 05:08:59, all dates in UTC

 01:42:04 **https://84.195.151.207/**

The firewall flagged the HttpGet request as 2 actions that are of malicious intent where detected. The firewall updated the block recommendation as previous penetration attempts were serious enough for the firewall to generate a block recommendation.

The most significant reasons to reject the request where "The user is using a port scanner", "The user has to many, and to serious incidents, that have not expired to be allowed to access the application"

Triggered : [HoneyPotTrap](#)

Decision : return Forbidden

Action : Block, expires on 09 Aug 21 01:47:05 UTC

21.Aug.21  01:59:03 **https://84.195.151.207/**

The firewall flagged the HttpGet request as 2 actions that are of malicious intent where detected. The firewall updated the block recommendation as previous penetration attempts were serious enough for the firewall to generate a block recommendation.

The most significant reasons to reject the request where "The user is using a port scanner", "The user has to many, and to serious incidents, that have not expired to be allowed to access the application"

Triggered : [HoneyPotTrap](#)

Decision : return Forbidden

Action : Block, expires on 21 Aug 21 02:04:03 UTC

29.Aug.21  05:00:54 **https://84.195.151.207/**

The firewall flagged the HttpGet request as 2 actions that are of malicious intent where detected. The firewall updated the block recommendation as previous penetration attempts were serious enough for the firewall to generate a block recommendation.

The most significant reasons to reject the request where "The user is using a port scanner", "The user has to many, and to serious incidents, that have not expired to be allowed to access the application"

Triggered : [HoneyPotTrap](#)

Decision : return Forbidden

Action : Block, expires on 29 Aug 21 05:09:18 UTC

*activity by 64.62.197.152 continues on the next page...*

05:08:59 **https://84.195.151.207/**

The firewall flagged the HttpGet request as 3 actions that are of malicious intent where detected. The firewall updated the block recommendation as previous penetration attempts were serious enough for the firewall to generate a block recommendation.

The most significant reasons to reject the request where "The user is using a port scanner", "The user has to many, and to serious incidents, that have not expired to be allowed to access the application", "The requested endpoint does not allow Blocked users or Users using developer tools to be accessed."

Triggered : HoneyPotTrap MaliciousUser

Decision : return Forbidden after 3 incidents in 465 milliseconds

Action : Block, expires on 29 Aug 21 05:09:18 UTC

29.Aug.21 *end or reported activity*

## Malicious HTTP activity from 64.62.197.2

---

The user on IP address 64.62.197.2 tried to exploit web based vulnerabilities. In its totality the user of IP address tried to use a HTTP request to exploits:

- accessing a honey-pot trap
- continued attempted to probe exploits after being warned
- an attempt to use developer tools to gain access
- repetitive attempts to probe for exploits
- an attempted access systems while not authorized

During the reported time range user of IP address triggered and attempted to use 5 different exploits 4 times. In total we detected 3 exploits with 15 attempts from 12 Aug 21 and 31 Aug 21. We recorded:

- 9 port-based attempt to exploit the server
- 5 repeated engagements with the site while being blocked
- 1 attempt to access a endpoint(s) while not being authorized

---

12.Aug.21 *4 penetration attempts period 12/08/2021 03:01:30 - 31/08/2021 04:18:13, all dates in UTC*

03:01:30 **https://84.195.151.207/**

The firewall flagged the HttpGet request as 2 actions that are of malicious intent where detected. The firewall updated the block recommendation as previous penetration attempts were serious enough for the firewall to generate a block recommendation.

The most significant reasons to reject the request where "The user is using a port scanner", "The user has to many, and to serious incidents, that have not expired to be allowed to access the application"

Triggered : HoneyPotTrap

Decision : return Forbidden

Action : Block, expires on 12 Aug 21 03:06:31 UTC

16.Aug.21 *01:19:57* **https://84.195.151.207/**

The firewall flagged the HttpGet request as 2 actions that are of malicious intent where detected. The firewall updated the block recommendation as previous penetration attempts were serious enough for the firewall to generate a block recommendation.

The most significant reasons to reject the request where "The user is using a port scanner", "The user has to many, and to serious incidents, that have not expired to be allowed to access the application"

Triggered : HoneyPotTrap

Decision : return Forbidden

00:13:45 **https://84.195.151.207/**

The firewall flagged the HttpGet request as 2 actions that are of malicious intent where detected. The firewall updated the block recommendation as previous penetration attempts were serious enough for the firewall to generate a block recommendation.

The most significant reasons to reject the request where "The user is using a port scanner", "The user has to many, and to serious incidents, that have not expired to be allowed to access the application"

Triggered : HoneyPotTrap

Decision : return Forbidden

Action : Block, expires on 27 Aug 21 00:23:04 UTC

31.Aug.21 04:18:13

**https://84.195.151.207/**

The firewall flagged the HttpGet request as 3 actions that are of malicious intent where detected. The firewall updated the block recommendation as previous penetration attempts were serious enough for the firewall to generate a block recommendation.

The most significant reasons to reject the request where "The user is using a port scanner", "The user has to many, and to serious incidents, that have not expired to be allowed to access the application", "The requested endpoint does not allow Blocked users or Users using developer tools to be accessed."

Triggered : HoneyPotTrap MaliciousUser

Decision : return Forbidden after 3 incidents in 240 milliseconds

Action : Block, expires on 31 Aug 21 04:27:55 UTC

31.Aug.21 *end or reported activity*



# GLOSSARY

The following glossary explains some of the terms & states names used when communicating malicious activity in this abuse report.

---

HoneyPotTrap	The firewall, or the site hosting the firewall, generated a trap for hackers hidden for normal users, the firewall detected that the system tried to access the trap.
MaliciousUser	A user is considered to be malicious having been previously rejected, after having been rejected the user still tries to access sensitive data and is therefore again rejected
PenetrationAttempt	The attacker attempted to gain access to the physical server by using cyber attack against the server to check for exploitable vulnerabilities.
ProxyUser	ProxyLogon is the formally generic name for a vulnerability on Server that allows an attacker bypassing the authentication and impersonating as the administrator.